

Kobayashi

8-31-86 1

REED "independence" — vs. B-70! (kill-us-jets!)

no need — us. weapons (+ IRBMs)
for B-2? base (FS) (vs. too many, L&W, H&K
or, geo — not against Polaris

SO S-T air (but...!)
minutes (but: not for
control) [D-L, FS (HR)]

Not just OK
It's AIA (Quintessential American)
(still?)

for CW, miss, DM

GE, Westinghouse
(GM doesn't depend on tanks, jeeps, etc.)
avoided it can compete in (also...?)
restructuring commercial market.
& development

Subsidiary — of buying plans (+ minutes)
+ pushing R+D!

R+D
Annual: keep scientists working for USAF
like: keep more scientists (labs, testing)
+ overnight preparation FU, CC...

for expansion in crisis (are we? no?)
high CW rate!

8-31-16

I've always believed Federal II: "not me"
(~~known~~ flying the logic =)

89

But this was always (?) a cover
for Federal I — health, / probable, As
non-nationalized

see EVERTH to Pat
(Kore)

aircraft industry
/ (of bank loans)

Douglas + R&KO!

"for "not me"
and in transport!"

(Nathan
USAF, non S.B.
non business
non union

("non-subsid" subsidy
COVERT SUBSIDY

actually even WWII!

(though they did sell idea
CW members of USAF — R&KO presented this!

Ask: Wf, really, was R&KO in Latin America?
(where aircraft corps were?!)

CW members ("Propagandists")

Kassidy

8-31-16

file initial com status
5

If only the com status was that
the US workbooks workbook: workbook + deferral
+ SC del: (TCS in C-IT)

But our FS/DM cap. is not a com
status now CF/FS/function is
D-C is: (for USAF,
USN...)

now workbooks in C-IT:
If only...

We set/line
on is...

WFO...

no LOW...

change
so no from been been understood

like from of R FS

(occurrence?) (function?)

2. U.

from been

from of SC aggressive war

Was the bluff?

What if State had not said in Aug '53?

" " NR states, or attacked?

(would not know then FU?)

What if France accepted?

Berlin was (?) a bluff —

but overriding

R wanted Berlin

(not what Europe)

DAV wanted SW

N? '69!

"DE knew STOP"

(not mention = set)

R was bluffing! No intention

but = like now!

TCS: all warheads should be
mint

(are 4 CDS!)

all 9 XWS!

Clinton Johnson shows right brain

He is MIC + empire starts free

(bluffs -

for enemies

+ allies

+ for MIC - weapons

There are not all for the state (enemies)

- "morning"

- US protection needed

- profits, jobs, bases,

... votes / office-holding

52 reasons

Black budget

If we're to give up

Anders / Rogers /

will win ...

Her will sometimes be given up

Could NC have foreseen or explain

other
leftists

inevitable ^{BLUNDERS} blunders of Stalin?

(Gorbachev?) (w/ Korean attack
Maoist-Bent mid.?
Bourgeoisie
Bourgeoisie (Yugoslavia)

other Cons?
other states?

VR
appeal
troops
union,
Soviet
Soviet

US?

Don't look at whole system
or esp. US-Sov

Imperial Antagonism

9-1-12

Model for longer air ^{on} (craft industry) + Aviation - 1201

{ oil purchases + R&D
 SU as target
 A-bombs [out H? - (1945-52)
monopoly

central
 for 8 in '48
 model for
 "over sea"
 don't want as many as
 A-bombs!
 too many killed!

(2) Czech
 then, SU provides 2) Berlin '48 (Friedrich!
 c) ^{A-bomb} ~~Korea~~ NATO)
 d) ~~Korea~~ China

model: Crimin
Ukraine
(Syria)

CPD: 1983

Wf and hot
want UMT?!

u.s.s.?

Berlin - JFK hotel - up
 ("model") (

aircraft industry?
 members?

Notes for UFR '61

(me!) - A-bombs, in 60-61!

as in 1949-50 CPD

despite AWM

1976-82

(D-L-1960?)

(us-HAK

WWK -

us, defense?

7
Klaus mt: CPD 1981?

N/Schles/Coner

What I don't know - was SO effortless?
(Yuzi - 10)

75 Patrol was in 1946-47-48

US was monopoly

large USAF

(best known doctrine: and not call for!)

79 (Wg was Farmer's idea in superior?
also about Philippines?
industry!

TR

8-31-16

Who was more dangerous to the US (Europe,
+ the world - inc. Russia):

Stalin (+ successors)

or US? (FOIA, hist, the...) !!

Kaplan & +

What if - Nationalization of aircraft -

or, R&D?

Would it lead to / require large air force?
Yes?

(are no public option

Big Phenomenon (R&D cost)

Bank

Reinvents? Airlines?

Int. airline market;

Not "good" "subsidy"

or loan! (→ nationalization)

+ Control of US&O

"Securing" (Nat. sec.) of aircraft industry

+ airlines
+ banks! + suppliers

Not subsidy
(Sponsoring)

from bankruptcies

or nationalization

(or low profits)

Healthy industry / R&D

important

"Doctrine of Airpower" (not only, or mainly, an
operation / battle / cult

of airman, flies! (LHM,
Amal!)

"Stay in force"

Kaplan

51 - Banks were not essential to an defense

X more SEBMs, yes ANY MORE THAN BATTLESHIPS OR ICBMs!

2-20-16

TR Yegorin agrees? Berlin Radio ('48)

— as was — reflects A-bomb

(O, R countermeasures?)

A was flatland of CHK accepting me —

no unity of opposition

→ K tries to change as soon as he
can threaten (bluff) US control

(on assumption, US will not accept
a slight risk of one way

but it would, & shut!

(like DRV acceptance of N threat!

and, of bombing!

(McK bluffing, control!)

Carter said!

(Not K — though

risk was > 0,

more than the marginal!

and he promised to confront US

with big risk — when the dict

break down from long risk (blockade)

type per treaty!